

# ANALYTICITY OF SOLUTIONS AND KOLMOGOROV'S DISSIPATION SCALE FOR 2D NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS

ARMEN SHIRIKYAN

*Department of Mathematics, Heriot-Watt University  
 Edinburgh EH14 4AS, Scotland, UK  
 E-mail: A.Shirikyan@ma.hw.ac.uk*

**1. Introduction.** Let us consider the two-dimensional Navier–Stokes (NS) system on a torus:

$$(1) \quad \dot{u} - \nu \Delta u + (u, \nabla)u - \nabla p = \eta(t, x), \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0, \quad x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbf{T}^2.$$

Here  $\mathbf{T}^2 = \mathbf{R}^2/2\pi\mathbf{Z}^2$ ,  $\nu > 0$  is the viscosity,  $u = u(t, x)$  is the velocity field,  $p$  is the pressure, and  $\eta$  is an external force. Equation (1) is supplemented with the initial condition

$$(2) \quad u(0, x) = u_0(x).$$

As is known [L], the problem (1), (2) is well-posed. Namely, for any right-hand side  $\eta$  and initial function  $u_0$  that belong to appropriate functional classes there is a unique solution  $u(t, x)$  for (1), (2). The aim of this article is to study analyticity of solutions regarded as functions of  $x$  and to find an asymptotic lower bound for the radius analyticity as  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ .

This problem is closely related to the Kolmogorov–Obukhov hypothesis on the behaviour of the energy spectrum of solutions in the turbulent regime. More precisely, let us expand a solution of (1) into the Fourier series,

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}^2} u_j(t) e^{ijx},$$

and define the energy corresponding to a wave number  $k$  by the formula

$$E_k = \sum_{k - \frac{1}{2} \leq |j| \leq k + \frac{1}{2}} |u_j|^2.$$

Roughly speaking, in the 2D case, the hypothesis is that there is a threshold  $\lambda_{2D} = \lambda_{2D}(\nu)$  called Kolmogorov's dissipation scale such that

$$E_k \sim k^{-3} \quad \text{for } k \leq \lambda_{2D}^{-1}, \quad E_k \lesssim k^{-N} \quad \text{for } k \geq \lambda_{2D}^{-1},$$

---

The research was supported by EPSRC, grant GR/N63055/01.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 35Q30; Secondary 60H15.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

where  $N > 0$  is an arbitrary constant. Furthermore, the Kolmogorov dissipation scale is of order  $\nu^{1/2}$ .

Let us consider a solution for (1) that admits analytic continuation to the domain  $|\operatorname{Im} x_i| \leq r\nu^\gamma$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , where  $r > 0$  is a constant. In this case, we have

$$|u_j| \leq \text{const } e^{-r\nu^\gamma |j|},$$

whence it follows that  $E_k \lesssim e^{-r\nu^\gamma k}$ . Therefore,

$$E_k \lesssim k^{-N} \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{k}{\ln k} \gtrsim \nu^{-\gamma}.$$

Hence, ignoring the logarithm, one can say that, if the Kolmogorov–Obukhov hypothesis is true, then  $\lambda_{2D} \gtrsim \nu^\gamma$ . Thus, *an asymptotic estimate for the radius of analyticity implies a lower bound for the Kolmogorov dissipation scale*. See [Fr, Ga, HKR, Ku] and references therein for a more detailed discussion.

The problem of analyticity of solutions for deterministic Navier–Stokes equations were studied in many papers (e.g., see [FT, HKR]). The aim of this article is to present some results in the case when the right-hand side  $\eta$  is a random process analytic in the space variables and white in time. This case was investigated earlier in [M, BKL]. In particular, as is shown in [BKL], for any initial function  $u_0$  the solution of the problem (1), (2) is analytic in  $x$  for  $t > 0$ , and its radius of analyticity  $\rho_\nu$  can be estimated asymptotically from below by  $\nu^{3+\delta}$  for any  $\delta > 0$ . Our estimates for solutions of (1), (2) imply that  $\rho_\nu \gtrsim \nu^{2+\delta}$  for any  $\delta > 0$ . We note that this assertion is true for any stationary solution of Eq. (1).

**2. Preliminaries.** In this section, we introduce necessary functional spaces, recall the definition of a solution for Eq. (1) and the notion of a stationary solution, and formulate some known results.

Let  $H^s = H^s(\mathbf{T}^2, \mathbf{R}^2)$  be the space of vector functions  $u = (u_1, u_2)$  on  $\mathbf{T}^2$  whose components belong to the Sobolev space of order  $s$ . For  $s = 0$ , we obtain the usual space  $L^2 = L^2(\mathbf{T}^2, \mathbf{R}^2)$  with natural norm  $|\cdot|$ . Let  $H$  be the subspace of  $u \in L^2$  such that  $\operatorname{div} u = 0$  and  $\int_{\mathbf{T}^2} u(x) dx = 0$  and let  $\Pi: L^2 \rightarrow H$  be the orthogonal projection onto  $H$ .

Applying  $\Pi$  to Eq. (1), we write it in the form (see [VF])

$$(3) \quad \dot{u} + \nu Lu + B(u, u) = \eta(t),$$

where  $L$  is the restriction of the operator  $-\Delta$  to  $H$ ,  $B(u, u) = \Pi(u, \nabla)u$  is the nonlinear term, and  $\eta(t)$  is the projection of the external force. (To simplify the notation, we denote the external force and its projection to  $H$  by the same symbol.)

To describe the class of right-hand sides for (3), we introduce a trigonometric basis in  $H$ . Namely, let  $\mathbf{Z}'$  be a subset of  $\mathbf{Z}_0^2 = \mathbf{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\mathbf{Z}' \cup (-\mathbf{Z}') = \mathbf{Z}_0^2$  and let

$$e_j(x) = \frac{\sin(jx) j^\perp}{\sqrt{2\pi}|j|}, \quad e_{-j}(x) = \frac{\cos(jx) j^\perp}{\sqrt{2\pi}|j|}, \quad j = (j_1, j_2) \in \mathbf{Z}',$$

where  $j^\perp = (-j_2, j_1)$  and  $|j| = (j_1^2 + j_2^2)^{1/2}$ . It is clear that  $Le_j = |j|^2 e_j$ ,  $j \in \mathbf{Z}_0^2$ , and that  $\{e_j, j \in \mathbf{Z}_0^2\}$  is a basis in  $H$ .

We assume that  $\eta$  has the form

$$(4) \quad \eta(t, x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \zeta(t, x), \quad \zeta(t, x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}_0^2} b_j \beta_j(t) e_j(x),$$

where  $\beta_j$  are independent standard Brownian motions defined on a complete probability  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$  with filtration  $\mathcal{F}_t$  and  $b_j$  are real constants satisfying the condition

$$(5) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}_0^2} |j|^2 b_j^2 < \infty.$$

This assumption implies, in particular, that almost all sample paths  $\zeta(t, \cdot)$  belong to the space  $C(\mathbf{R}_+, V)$  of continuous functions on the half-line  $\mathbf{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$  with range in  $V := H \cap H^1(\mathbf{T}^2, \mathbf{R}^2)$ . Equation (3) is regarded as an Itô's stochastic PDE.

Let  $L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbf{R}_+, V)$  be the space of Bochner-measurable functions  $u(t) : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow V$  such that  $\int_0^T \|f(t)\|^2 dt < \infty$  for any  $T > 0$ , where  $\|u\| = |L^{1/2}u|$  is the norm in  $V$ .

DEFINITION 1. A random process  $u(t) = u(t, x)$  in  $H$  defined on the half-line  $t \geq 0$  and progressively measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is called a *strong solution* of Eq. (3) if the following two conditions hold with probability 1.

- (i) The function  $u(t, x)$  belongs to  $L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbf{R}_+, V) \cap C(\mathbf{R}_+, H)$ .
- (ii) For any  $t > 0$ , we have

$$u(t) + \int_0^t (\nu Lu + B(u, u)) ds = u(0) + \zeta(t),$$

where the left- and right-hand sides of this relation are regarded as elements of the space  $H^{-1}(\mathbf{T}^2, \mathbf{R}^2)$ .

A proof of the following result can be found in [VF, Chapter X] (also see [DaZ, Chapter 15]).

PROPOSITION 2. *Suppose that condition (5) holds. Then for any  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable random variable  $u_0$  with range in  $H$  Eq. (3) has a unique solution on  $[0, \infty)$  that satisfies the initial condition (2).*

Let  $u(t)$  be a solution for Eq. (3) and let  $\mu(t)$  be its distribution at time  $t$ . Thus,  $\mu(t)$  is a probability Borel measure in the functional space  $H$ .

DEFINITION 3. The solution  $u(t)$  is said to be *stationary* if  $\mu(t)$  does not depend on  $t$ . In this case,  $\mu(t) \equiv \mu$  is called a *stationary measure* for Eq. (3).

A proof of the following theorem can be found in [DaZ, Chapter 15].

PROPOSITION 4. *Suppose that condition (5) holds. Then Eq. (3) has a stationary measure.*

**3. Main results.** We begin with an estimate for a second exponential moment for stationary solutions.

THEOREM 5. *Suppose that condition (5) holds. There are positive constants  $\sigma$  and  $C$  not depending on  $\nu$  such that, if  $\mu$  is a stationary measure for Eq. (3) with some  $\nu \in (0, 1]$ , then*

$$\int_H \exp(\sigma \nu \|u\|^2) \mu(du) \leq C.$$

We now assume that the coefficients  $b_j$  entering the right-hand side of (3) (see (4)) satisfy the following inequality for some  $\rho > 0$ :

$$(6) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}_0^2} e^{2\rho|j|} b_j^2 < \infty.$$

Recall that, for a function  $u(t, x)$  with range in  $H$ , we denote by  $u_j(t)$  its Fourier coefficients. The following theorem establishes the analyticity of solutions for the Cauchy problem (3), (2) and gives an asymptotic lower bound for the radius of analyticity.

**THEOREM 6.** *Suppose that condition (6) holds. Let  $u_0 = u_0^\nu(x)$  be a family of random initial functions that satisfy the inequality*

$$\mathbf{E} \exp(\sigma \nu \|u_0^\nu\|^2) \leq R \quad \text{for } 0 < \nu \leq 1,$$

where the positive constants  $\sigma$  and  $R$  do not depend on  $\nu$ . Then for any  $t_0 \geq 1$ ,  $T > 0$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1]$  there are positive random variables  $r_\nu = r_\nu(t_0, T, \delta)$  and  $C_\nu = C_\nu(t_0, T, \delta)$  such that, with probability 1,

$$|u_j(t)| \leq C_\nu e^{-r_\nu \nu^{2+\delta}|j|}, \quad t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + T, \quad j \in \mathbf{Z}_0^2.$$

Moreover, for any integer  $m \geq 1$  there is a constant  $K_m = K_m(\sigma, T, \delta, R) > 0$  not depending on  $t_0$  and  $\nu$  such that

$$\mathbf{E} r_\nu^{-m} \leq K_m, \quad \mathbf{E} C_\nu^m \leq K_m \nu^{-m/2} \quad \text{for } 0 < \nu \leq 1.$$

In particular, any solution of Eq. (3) with deterministic initial function  $u_0 \in V$  is analytic in  $x$  with probability 1, and its radius of analyticity can be estimated from below by  $r_\nu \nu^{2+\delta}$ . Theorem 5 implies that the above assertions are valid for any stationary solution of Eq. (3).

Proofs of Theorems 5 and 6 are given in [S].

**Acknowledgements.** A part of this article was written during the Workshop on Evolution Equations at the Banach Center, Warsaw (1–6 July 2001), and I would like to thank the organisers for hospitality. I am also grateful to Prof. Sergei Kuksin for encouragement and stimulating discussions of the results of this paper.

## References

- [BKL] J. BRICMONT, A. KUPIAINEN, and R. LEFEVERE, *Probabilistic estimates for the two-dimensional stochastic Navier–Stokes equations*, J. Statist. Phys. 100 (2000), no. 3–4, 743–756.
- [DaZ] G. DA PRATO and J. ZABCZYK, *Ergodicity for Infinite-Dimensional Systems*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [FT] C. FOIAS and R. TEMAM, *Gevrey class regularity for the solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Funct. Anal. 87 (1989), no. 2, 359–369.
- [Fr] U. FRISCH, *Turbulence. The Legacy of A. N. Kolmogorov*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [Ga] G. GALLAVOTTI, *Foundations of Fluid Dynamics*, Springer, Berlin, 2001.
- [HKR] W. D. HENSHAW, H.-O. KREISS, and L. G. REYNA, *Smallest scale estimates for the Navier-Stokes equations for incompressible fluids*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 112 (1990), no. 1, 21–44.

- [Ku] S. B. KUKSIN, *Spectral properties of solutions for nonlinear PDEs in the turbulent regime*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 9 (1999), no. 1, 141–184.
- [L] J.-L. LIONS, *Quelques Méthodes de Résolution des Problèmes aux Limites Non Linéaires*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [M] J. C. MATTINGLY, *The Stochastic Navier-Stokes Equation: Energy Estimates and Phase Space Contraction*, Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University, 1998.
- [S] A. SHIRIKYAN, *Analyticity of solutions for randomly forced two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations*, *Russian Math. Surveys* 57 (2002), no. 4, 785–799.
- [VF] M. I. VISHIK and A. V. FURSIKOV, *Mathematical Problems of Statistical Hydromechanics*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1988.